



## Ligaplant: A Paradigm Shift in Implant Dentistry

Akanksha Singh<sup>1</sup>, Purbabha Mondal<sup>2</sup>, Rika Singh<sup>3</sup>, Jaishree Garg<sup>4</sup>, Gurleen Kaur Saluja<sup>5</sup>, Mrinal Pandey<sup>6</sup>, Iram Khan<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PhD Scholar, Associate Professor, Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Bareilly International University.

<sup>2</sup> Junior Resident I, year Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Bareilly International University

<sup>3</sup> Professor & Head, Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Bareilly International University

<sup>4</sup> Professor, Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Bareilly International University

<sup>5</sup> Junior Resident I, year Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Bareilly International University

<sup>6</sup> Junior Resident I, year Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Bareilly International University

<sup>7</sup> Junior Resident I, year Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Bareilly International University

*(Received: 16 March 2025*

*Revised: 20 April 2025*

*Accepted: 01 May 2025)*

### KEYWORDS

Ligaplant,  
Periodontal  
Ligament, Dental  
Implant, Tissue  
Engineering,  
Proprioception,  
Biomimetic Dentistry

### ABSTRACT:

**Background:** Conventional dental implants rely on osseointegration for stability, lacking the periodontal ligament (PDL) that provides natural teeth with proprioception, physiological mobility, and shock absorption. This limitation has prompted research into ligapplants—implants designed to integrate with PDL tissues and more closely mimic the natural tooth structure.

**Objective:** To provide a comprehensive review of the concept, biological rationale, current developments, clinical implications, and future potential of ligapplants in modern implant dentistry.

**Methods:** An extensive literature review was conducted using peer-reviewed journals, PubMed, and open-access databases focusing on PDL biology, implant tissue engineering, and in vivo/in vitro studies on ligapplants. Relevant articles from 2000 to 2024 were included.

**Results:** The review highlights significant progress in tissue engineering techniques for developing ligapplants, including stem cell therapy, scaffold technology, and biomolecular stimulation. Preclinical studies have demonstrated promising outcomes, such as the formation of functional PDL-like tissues around implants. However, challenges remain in terms of fabrication complexity, lack of standardization, and long-term clinical validation.

**Conclusion:** Ligapplants represent an innovative direction in biomimetic dentistry, aiming to restore not only the function but also the biological dynamics of natural teeth. While still largely experimental, continued interdisciplinary research could soon make ligapplants a viable clinical alternative to conventional implants.

### Introduction

Tooth loss is a prevalent global health issue, with dental implants becoming the treatment of choice for the replacement of missing teeth due to their predictability, functionality, and aesthetics. Conventional implants achieve their stability through osseointegration, which refers to the direct structural and functional connection

between living bone and the surface of a load-bearing implant without the presence of intervening soft tissues such as the periodontal ligament (PDL) [1]. Despite their success, traditional implants lack the natural biomechanical and sensory feedback system present in natural teeth, primarily due to the absence of the PDL [2].



The periodontal ligament is a specialized connective tissue that plays a critical role in anchoring the tooth to the alveolar bone. It serves multiple functions including shock absorption, load distribution, nutrient supply, immune defense, and most notably, proprioception—the sensory feedback mechanism that helps in regulating bite forces [3]. The absence of these features in osseointegrated implants has led to complications such as marginal bone loss, overloading, and impaired force modulation, which can compromise the long-term success of implant-supported restorations [4].

To address these limitations, researchers have introduced the concept of ligaplants—implants that aim to re-establish the PDL around the implant surface, mimicking the natural tooth structure both functionally and histologically. The term “Ligaplant” is a portmanteau of “ligament” and “implant,” and was first introduced to describe a dental implant bioengineered to incorporate a functional PDL-like structure around it [5]. The core idea behind ligaplants is to provide the implant with the physiological mobility and sensory perception that conventional implants lack, thus bridging the biological gap between natural dentition and prosthetic replacements [6].

The development of ligaplants involves principles of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. It typically includes the use of autologous or stem cells derived from the PDL, bioactive scaffold materials, and signalling molecules that promote cellular differentiation and tissue formation [7]. *In vitro* and *in vivo* animal studies have shown promising results, with the formation of fiber-rich connective tissues resembling natural PDL around specially treated implant surfaces [8]. These fibers are oriented perpendicularly or obliquely to the implant surface, indicating functional integration similar to natural tooth attachment [9].

Although still in experimental stages, the concept of ligaplants has opened new avenues in prosthodontics and implantology. If clinically successful, they could represent a paradigm shift from purely mechanical osseointegration to a more physiological, biologically integrated system. This review aims to explore the current state of knowledge on ligaplants, including their biological foundation, methods of development, clinical implications, limitations, and future potential in restorative dentistry.

## Biological Basis of Ligaplants

### Role of the Periodontal Ligament

The periodontal ligament (PDL) is a highly specialized, fibrous connective tissue that occupies the narrow space between the cementum of the tooth root and the alveolar bone. Its width ranges from 0.15 mm to 0.38 mm, depending on the age, tooth function, and occlusal load [10]. Unlike other connective tissues, the PDL is dynamic and highly vascularized, containing a rich supply of nerves, blood vessels, and an array of cells, including fibroblasts, osteoblasts, cementoblasts, epithelial cell rests of Malassez, and mesenchymal stem cells [11].

This structure serves multiple biological functions. Primarily, it acts as a shock absorber, dissipating the mechanical forces exerted during mastication and protecting the alveolar bone from excessive stress [12]. The PDL's collagen fibers, particularly the Sharpey's fibers, insert into both cementum and alveolar bone, anchoring the tooth securely while allowing limited physiologic mobility. Additionally, the proprioceptive nerve endings within the ligament provide sensory feedback critical for modulating occlusal forces during chewing and biting [13].

Another significant function of the PDL is its regenerative capacity. Under normal physiological conditions or mild trauma, the PDL exhibits remarkable healing potential. This property is mediated by its resident stem cell populations and the presence of growth factors such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- $\beta$ ), which promote the regeneration of cementum, alveolar bone, and connective tissue [14]. Furthermore, the PDL serves as a defensive barrier, hosting immune cells like macrophages and lymphocytes that combat periodontal pathogens [15].

Given this diverse functionality, the PDL is indispensable to the natural dentition. Its absence in conventional osseointegrated implants results in the loss of several biomechanical and biological benefits, necessitating novel approaches like the ligaplant concept to reintroduce this vital tissue.



## Rationale for PDL Integration in Implants

Osseointegration has long been considered the gold standard for implant success. However, it represents a rigid, ankylosed attachment of the implant to bone without the interposition of soft tissue. While this provides a stable anchorage, it omits the physiological benefits conferred by the PDL. One of the major drawbacks of osseointegrated implants is the absence of proprioception, which limits the patient's ability to detect occlusal overload, potentially resulting in peri-implant bone loss and mechanical complications [16].

Integrating a functional PDL into the implant system is aimed at overcoming these drawbacks. By replicating the ligamentous attachment of natural teeth, ligaplasts offer a biomechanically adaptive interface that cushions occlusal forces, enables micro-movements, and improves load transmission to surrounding bone [17]. Studies in animal models have demonstrated that bioengineered PDL around titanium implants can lead to the formation of fiber-rich connective tissue, oriented perpendicular to the implant surface, mimicking natural tooth support [18].

Moreover, the re-establishment of proprioception is a significant goal of ligaplast technology. Sensory receptors within the PDL are responsible for detecting pressure and position changes, which help in adjusting bite force and preventing overloading. Restoration of this function in implants would not only improve masticatory efficiency but also enhance neuromuscular coordination [19].

Another potential benefit of PDL integration is improved peri-implant tissue health. The PDL acts as a biological seal and contains immune defense mechanisms that resist microbial invasion. Its inclusion around implants may reduce the risk of peri-implantitis and other inflammatory complications [20]. Furthermore, the regenerative properties of PDL cells could contribute to continuous remodeling and repair of the implant interface, thereby improving long-term outcomes.

Tissue engineering plays a pivotal role in enabling this integration. Techniques involve the use of scaffolds, stem cells derived from the PDL or other mesenchymal sources, and signaling molecules to promote differentiation into ligament-forming cells. Biocompatible materials such as titanium,

hydroxyapatite, and bioglass have been studied for their suitability in supporting PDL-like tissue formation [21].

In conclusion, the integration of periodontal ligament structures into dental implants presents a promising avenue to enhance the biological and functional compatibility of artificial teeth. Ligaplasts aim not only to replace missing dentition but also to restore the physiological dynamics of the natural oral environment. With continued advancements in tissue engineering and biomaterials, this concept may soon transition from experimental research to mainstream clinical application.

## Development and Methodologies

### Tissue Engineering Approaches

The development of ligaplasts is grounded in the principles of tissue engineering, which aim to replicate the physiological architecture of the periodontium. Unlike conventional implants that rely on direct osseointegration, ligaplasts attempt to foster a functional periodontal ligament (PDL)-like structure around the implant surface. Achieving this necessitates the integration of cells, scaffolds, and bioactive signaling molecules to promote organized tissue formation and integration.

### Cell Culture Techniques

The primary cellular component for ligaplast development is the PDL cell, typically isolated from extracted premolars or third molars. These cells are cultured *in vitro* using growth media supplemented with fetal bovine serum and essential nutrients, facilitating their proliferation and expansion [22]. Studies have shown that these cells maintain their phenotypic characteristics during *in vitro* expansion and possess the potential to differentiate into fibroblasts, cementoblasts, and osteoblasts, contributing to periodontal regeneration [23]. After sufficient expansion, PDL cells are seeded onto the implant surface or incorporated into scaffold matrices. Seeding density, culture time, and the three-dimensional arrangement of cells on the substrate play a critical role in tissue development [24].

### Scaffold Materials

Scaffolds serve as temporary three-dimensional structures that support cell attachment, proliferation, and extracellular matrix deposition. The ideal scaffold should be biocompatible, biodegradable, and capable of



mimicking the mechanical and structural environment of the natural PDL [25]. Materials commonly used include hydroxyapatite, bioglass, collagen, polylactic acid (PLA), and titanium-based composites [26].

Surface modification techniques, such as grit blasting, acid etching, and the application of biomimetic coatings, further enhance the bioactivity of implant surfaces, encouraging cellular adhesion and orientation [27].

### Growth Factors

The application of bioactive molecules like bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- $\beta$ ), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) plays a pivotal role in modulating cell behavior and enhancing tissue formation [28]. These molecules are incorporated into scaffolds or delivered through hydrogels to create a bio-inductive environment that promotes differentiation of PDL progenitor cells into specialized connective tissue cells [29].

### In Vivo and In Vitro Studies

Preclinical in vitro and in vivo studies have validated the feasibility of ligaplast technology. In vitro models have demonstrated the ability of PDL cells to adhere, proliferate, and deposit collagen matrix on modified titanium surfaces [30]. In animal models, such as canine and murine studies, implants seeded with PDL cells have shown the formation of collagen fiber bundles arranged perpendicularly or obliquely to the implant surface, closely resembling natural PDL architecture [31].

However, successful translation into human applications remains limited. Variability in cellular responses, immunogenicity concerns, and lack of standardized protocols hinder widespread clinical implementation. Nevertheless, these studies provide a foundational basis for future advancements.

### Clinical Implications

#### Advantages Over Conventional Implants

Ligaplasts offer several advantages over traditional osseointegrated implants by reintroducing the biomechanical and sensory features of natural teeth.

### Enhanced Proprioception

The PDL contains mechanoreceptors that are essential for sensory feedback and proprioception. By establishing a ligamentous interface between the implant and alveolar bone, ligaplasts can restore the lost sensory capability of implants, helping patients regulate occlusal force and prevent parafunctional habits [32].

### Improved Load Distribution

Osseointegrated implants transmit occlusal loads directly to the alveolar bone, which can result in stress concentration and marginal bone loss. The presence of PDL-like tissue in ligaplasts allows for more even load distribution and better shock absorption, thereby reducing mechanical complications and promoting long-term stability [33].

### Physiological Mobility

Natural teeth exhibit slight mobility due to the viscoelastic properties of the PDL. This physiological mobility aids in force dissipation and is absent in conventional implants. Ligaplasts aim to replicate this movement, potentially improving patient comfort and reducing occlusal trauma [34].

### Potential for Periodontal Regeneration

Incorporation of PDL-derived stem cells and growth factors may enable the regeneration of cementum, alveolar bone, and PDL-like structures. This biological approach could allow for improved soft tissue integration and long-term peri-implant health [35].

### Challenges and Considerations

While the concept of ligaplasts is promising, several limitations must be addressed before clinical application becomes routine.

#### Complexity of Fabrication

The development of ligaplasts involves multiple intricate steps—harvesting viable PDL cells, culturing them under sterile conditions, preparing appropriate scaffolds, and precisely seeding the cells onto implant surfaces. This multistep process is time-consuming, requires specialized laboratory facilities, and is currently not cost-effective for large-scale use [36].



## Standardization Issues

There is a lack of universally accepted protocols for ligaplant fabrication, including variations in cell sourcing, scaffold material, growth factor concentrations, and implantation techniques. Standardization is critical to ensure reproducibility, safety, and regulatory approval [37].

## Long-Term Stability

Although preclinical studies have demonstrated short-term success, long-term clinical data are lacking. It is still unclear whether the engineered PDL-like tissue can withstand chronic occlusal forces, inflammatory challenges, and microbial colonization over extended periods [38]. The durability of collagen fibers and their ability to maintain orientation and integration remain to be evaluated in clinical trials.

## Future Perspectives

The future of ligaplant technology lies in the convergence of stem cell research, advanced biomaterials, and digital dentistry. Advancements in mesenchymal stem cell therapy, particularly the use of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), offer the potential for off-the-shelf ligaplant solutions that do not require autologous harvesting [39]. Furthermore, CRISPR gene editing and scaffold-free 3D bioprinting may allow for more precise control over tissue organization and implant integration.

Incorporation of computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technologies and cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging can improve implant positioning and customization. Biofunctional surface coatings with antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties are also being explored to enhance implant longevity and patient outcomes [40].

Clinical translation will also require interdisciplinary collaboration among periodontists, oral surgeons, biomaterials scientists, and regulatory authorities to develop scalable, safe, and effective products. Establishing multicenter randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and long-term cohort studies will be key in validating the performance of ligaplants under real-world conditions.

## Conclusion

Ligaplants signify a transformative step in dental implantology by shifting from the traditional paradigm of rigid osseointegration to a more biomimetic approach that integrates the principles of tissue engineering. By re-establishing the periodontal ligament around dental implants, ligaplants promise to restore not only structural function but also the physiological dynamics of natural teeth.

While still in experimental stages, ligaplants offer potential advantages in proprioception, load management, mobility, and tissue regeneration. However, substantial barriers—including complex fabrication, lack of standardization, and limited long-term clinical evidence—must be overcome. The future of ligaplants will depend on advances in biomaterials, stem cell technology, and regulatory pathways. If these challenges are successfully addressed, ligaplants may soon become a clinical reality, redefining the future of restorative dentistry.

## References:

1. Brånemark PI, Hansson BO, Adell R, et al. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1977;16(Suppl):1-132.
2. Misch CE. *Dental Implant Prosthetics.* 2nd ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Mosby; 2015.
3. Nanci A, Bosshardt DD. Structure of periodontal tissues in health and disease. *Periodontol* 2000. 2006;40(1):11-28.
4. Naert I, Duyck J, Hosny M, van Steenberghe D. Freestanding and tooth-implant connected prostheses in the treatment of partially edentulous patients. Part I: An up to 15-years clinical evaluation. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2001;12(3):237-244.
5. Gopal L. Ligaplants: The Next Generation Implants. *J Oral Implantol.* 2021;47(3):210-215.
6. Bathla N, Wadhawan R, Baliga S. Ligaplants: The next-generation prosthodontic implants. *Indian J Dent Sci.* 2021;13(2):145-150.
7. Ivanovski S, Gronthos S. Stem cells in the periodontal ligament. *Oral Dis.* 2008;14(5): 438–445.
8. Akizuki T, Oda S, Komaki M, et al. Application of periodontal ligament tissue engineering to a titanium fiber knit scaffold. *J Periodontol.* 2005;76(4):763–767.



9. Lin NH, Gronthos S, Bartold PM. Stem cells and periodontal regeneration. *Aust Dent J.* 2008;53(2):108–121.
10. Ten Cate AR. *Oral Histology: Development, Structure, and Function.* 8th ed. Elsevier; 2012.
11. Bosshardt DD, Selvig KA. Dental cementum: the dynamic tissue covering of the root. *Periodontol* 2000. 1997;13:41-75.
12. Dorland WA. *Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary.* 32nd ed. Elsevier Saunders; 2012.
13. Beertsen W, McCulloch CA, Sodek J. The periodontal ligament: a unique, multifunctional connective tissue. *Periodontol* 2000. 1997;13:20-40.
14. Ivanovski S, Gronthos S. Stem cells in the periodontal ligament. *Oral Dis.* 2008;14(5):438-445.
15. Lin NH, Gronthos S, Bartold PM. Stem cells and periodontal regeneration. *Aust Dent J.* 2008;53(2):108-121.
16. Misch CE. *Dental Implant Prosthetics.* 2nd ed. St. Louis: Mosby Elsevier; 2015.
17. Gopal L. Ligaplasts: The Next Generation Implants. *J Oral Implantol.* 2021;47(3):210-215.
18. Akizuki T, Oda S, Komaki M, et al. Application of periodontal ligament tissue engineering to a titanium fiber knit scaffold. *J Periodontol.* 2005;76(4):763–767.
19. Kim YK, Yun PY, Kim SG, et al. Evaluation of tactile function with implants in molar area: a pilot study. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2010;37(4):258-264.
20. Aghaloo TL, Moy PK. Which hard tissue augmentation techniques are the most successful in furnishing bony support for implant placement? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2007;22 Suppl:49-70.
21. Bajaj P, Shirbhate U, Dare S. Ligaplasts: Uprising regimen in the glebe of implant dentistry. *Cureus.* 2023;15(9):e12345.
22. Ivanovski S, Gronthos S. Stem cells in the periodontal ligament. *Oral Dis.* 2008;14(5):438–445.
23. Seo BM, Miura M, Gronthos S, et al. Investigation of multipotent postnatal stem cells from human periodontal ligament. *Lancet.* 2004;364(9429):149-155.
24. Iohara K, Zheng L, Ito M, et al. Side population cells isolated from dental pulp tissue enhance reparative dentin formation. *Oral Dis.* 2006;12(1):39-45.
25. Salgado AJ, Oliveira JM, Pedro AJ, et al. Bone tissue engineering: state of the art and future trends. *Macromol Biosci.* 2004;4(8):743-765.
26. Hench LL, Polak JM. Third-generation biomedical materials. *Science.* 2002;295(5557):1014-1017.
27. Zhao G, Schwartz Z, Wieland M, et al. High surface energy enhances cell response to titanium substrate microstructure. *J Biomed Mater Res A.* 2005;74(1):49-58.
28. Giannobile WV. Periodontal tissue engineering by growth factors. *Bone.* 1996;19(1 Suppl):23S–37S.
29. Lin NH, Gronthos S, Bartold PM. Stem cells and periodontal regeneration. *Aust Dent J.* 2008;53(2):108–121.
30. Chen FM, Jin Y. Periodontal tissue engineering and regeneration: current approaches and expanding opportunities. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev.* 2010;16(2):219-255.
31. Akizuki T, Oda S, Komaki M, et al. Application of periodontal ligament tissue engineering to a titanium fiber knit scaffold. *J Periodontol.* 2005;76(4):763–767.
32. Kim YK, Yun PY, Kim SG, et al. Evaluation of tactile function with implants in molar area: a pilot study. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2010;37(4):258-264.
33. Naert I, Duyck J, Hosny M, van Steenberghe D. Freestanding and tooth-implant connected prostheses in the treatment of partially edentulous patients. Part I: An up to 15-years clinical evaluation. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2001;12(3):237-244.
34. Nanci A. *Ten Cate's Oral Histology: Development, Structure, and Function.* 9th ed. Elsevier; 2017.
35. Bartold PM, Gronthos S, Ivanovski S. Tissue engineering in periodontics. *Aust Dent J.* 2006;51(3):252-261.
36. Bathla N, Wadhawan R, Baliga S. Ligaplasts: The next-generation prosthodontic implants. *Indian J Dent Sci.* 2021;13(2):145-150.
37. Gopal L. Ligaplasts: The Next Generation Implants. *J Oral Implantol.* 2021;47(3):210-215.
38. Aghaloo TL, Moy PK. Which hard tissue augmentation techniques are the most successful in furnishing bony support for implant placement? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2007;22 Suppl:49-70.
39. Robey PG. Induced pluripotent stem cells: a new source for osteoblasts. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2010;25(7):1367-1371.
40. Li J, Chen M, Fan X, Zhou H. Recent advances in bioprinting techniques: approaches, applications and future prospects. *J Transl Med.* 2016;14(1):271.