



Maxillary Reconstruction Procedures Post Maxillectomy: A Comprehensive Review

¹Balaji V, ²Dhanraj Ganapathy

¹Undergraduate student, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technological Sciences, Saveetha University Chennai, India

²Professor & Head, Department Of Prosthodontics Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute Of Medical and Technological Sciences, Saveetha University Chennai, India

Corresponding Author: Dhanraj Ganapathy

(Received: 04 August 2023

Revised: 12 September

Accepted: 06 October)

KEYWORDS

Maxillary reconstruction, maxillectomy, facial defects, prosthetic rehabilitation, free tissue transfer, bone grafts, dental implants.

ABSTRACT:

Maxillectomy, the surgical removal of all or part of the maxilla, is a major procedure performed for various medical conditions such as malignant tumors, extensive traumatic injuries, congenital malformations, and osteonecrosis of the maxilla. This surgical intervention is often necessary to eradicate cancerous growths, control severe infections, or address debilitating structural defects. While maxillectomy is crucial for ensuring patients' survival and overall health, it often leaves them with significant functional and aesthetic impairments that can profoundly impact their quality of life. This comprehensive review aims to provide a thorough analysis of the current state of Maxillary Reconstruction Procedures Post Maxillectomy examining the scientific literature published over the last 15 years. By critically evaluating the efficacy, safety, potential applications, and challenges faced by blood substitutes, this review will shed light on their potential role in transforming patient care.

Introduction

Maxillectomy, the surgical removal of all or part of the maxilla, is often necessitated by advanced head and neck tumors, traumatic injuries, or congenital anomalies. While maxillectomy can be life-saving, it results in significant functional and aesthetic impairments due to the loss of hard and soft tissues in the maxillary region.(1,2) The postoperative challenges faced by patients require innovative and effective maxillary reconstruction procedures to restore oral function, improve quality of life, and enhance facial aesthetics. (3–5)

Maxillary reconstruction has witnessed remarkable advancements over the last few decades, with several surgical approaches and techniques being developed to address the complex nature of post-maxillectomy defects.(6) Over the last few decades, advancements in medical science, surgical techniques, and materials have paved the way for remarkable progress in maxillary

reconstruction. Researchers, surgeons, and prosthodontists have collaborated to develop innovative approaches and technologies that have revolutionized the field.(7,8) Maxillary reconstruction has evolved significantly, transitioning from rudimentary procedures to sophisticated, multidisciplinary approaches. Initially, the reconstructive efforts primarily involved local flaps, which involved mobilizing nearby tissues to cover the defects. Nasolabial and buccal flaps were commonly used for small and medium-sized defects.(9,10) However, they had limitations, especially for extensive defects, due to their limited tissue mobility.

As surgical techniques advanced, regional flaps became popular choices for more extensive defects. The temporalis muscle flap and the pectoralis major myocutaneous flap were among the commonly used regional flaps(11). These flaps offered ample tissue bulk and a reliable blood supply, enabling the reconstruction of larger maxillary defects. However, they were



associated with functional limitations, such as speech and masticatory difficulties, and often resulted in suboptimal aesthetic outcomes.

The emergence of microvascular surgery opened new avenues for complex maxillary reconstruction. Free tissue transfer allowed the transplantation of distant tissues with a reliable vascular supply, offering superior outcomes for large and challenging maxillary defects(12). The fibula free flap, radial forearm flap, and anterolateral thigh flap are among the commonly utilized free flaps in maxillary reconstruction.(13) These flaps provide versatility in terms of tissue composition, and their ability to accommodate dental implants further enhances their appeal.

In the pursuit of achieving the best possible outcomes, maxillary reconstruction has evolved to incorporate combined approaches. The integration of surgical and prosthetic techniques has led to more comprehensive and tailored solutions.(14,15) For instance, a combination of free tissue transfer with dental implants and prostheses provides patients with both structural support and dental rehabilitation, leading to more functional and aesthetically pleasing results.

Collaboration between multiple medical specialties has become increasingly vital in achieving successful maxillary reconstruction. The involvement of oral and maxillofacial surgeons, prosthodontists, head and neck surgeons, and radiation oncologists in the treatment planning process allows for a multidisciplinary perspective and personalized treatment plans for each patient.

Methodology

To conduct this research, a comprehensive literature review was undertaken to identify relevant articles published within the last 15 years (from 2008 to 2023). Databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and relevant medical journals were extensively searched using keywords such as "maxillary reconstruction," "maxillectomy," "facial defects," "prosthetic rehabilitation," "free tissue transfer," "bone grafts," and "dental implants."

Inclusion criteria for articles consisted of studies that focused on maxillary reconstruction procedures post maxillectomy. The selected articles were further evaluated based on their relevance to the topic, quality of data, sample size, and research methodology. After

rigorous screening, a total of 15 articles were included in the review.

Initially, a total of 40 articles were identified. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, 15 articles were selected for detailed analysis. Studies that focused on maxillary reconstruction techniques, outcomes, complications, and patient satisfaction were included, while review articles, case reports, and articles with limited relevance were excluded. The selected articles were then thoroughly reviewed, and the information was synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the different maxillary reconstruction procedures.

Discussion

Maxillary Reconstruction Challenges:

Maxillary defects resulting from maxillectomy can vary significantly in size, location, and complexity, depending on the underlying pathology and the extent of surgical resection. These defects can involve the hard palate, maxillary sinus, alveolar ridge, orbital floor, and other adjacent structures. Consequently, reconstructing such intricate anatomical regions requires meticulous planning, surgical skill, and an in-depth understanding of the available reconstructive options.

One of the primary concerns in maxillary reconstruction is achieving functional rehabilitation. The maxilla plays a critical role in speech production and swallowing. A well-functioning maxillary reconstruction should restore the velopharyngeal function to ensure proper speech articulation and prevent nasal regurgitation during swallowing.(16) Additionally, preserving or restoring the occlusal relationship and dental function is essential to maintain proper mastication and nutritional intake.

Furthermore, the aesthetic outcome of maxillary reconstruction significantly impacts patients' self-esteem and body image. Aesthetically pleasing results can help patients feel more comfortable in social settings and improve their psychological well-being(17). Consequently, reconstruction methods should not only focus on functional restoration but also on providing a natural facial appearance that blends seamlessly with the surrounding structures.

Maxillary Reconstruction Techniques:

The literature review revealed a range of maxillary reconstruction techniques, each tailored to the extent of the maxillectomy defect and the patient's individual needs. These techniques include prosthetic rehabilitation



with obturators, local flaps, regional flaps, distant flaps, microvascular free tissue transfer, and bone grafts.(18) Prosthetic rehabilitation, employing obturators, is a conservative approach suitable for limited defects, providing acceptable functional and aesthetic outcomes. However, it may require frequent adjustments and has limitations in larger defects.

Microvascular Free Tissue Transfer:

Over the last 15 years, microvascular free tissue transfer has emerged as a leading reconstructive option, offering durable and aesthetically superior outcomes. Procedures utilizing free flaps such as fibula, radial forearm, scapula, and anterolateral thigh flaps have shown promising results in restoring both hard and soft tissues.(19) The vascular anastomosis of these flaps allows improved blood supply, facilitating better healing and graft integration.

Bone Grafts and Dental Implants:

Augmentation of the maxillary bony structure through bone grafts, including iliac crest and calvarial bone, has been utilized to enhance stability for dental rehabilitation. Integration of dental implants into the reconstructed maxilla has further improved oral function and patient satisfaction.(20) Studies have reported successful implant osseointegration, leading to the restoration of masticatory function and phonetics.

Advancements in Surgical Techniques:

Technological advancements, such as computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM) and virtual surgical planning, have revolutionized maxillary reconstruction. These tools facilitate meticulous preoperative planning, allowing for customized surgical guides and better surgical outcomes. Moreover, advances in 3D printing have enabled the fabrication of patient-specific implants and prostheses, enhancing both functional and aesthetic restoration.

Prosthetic Reconstruction Techniques:

Prosthetic reconstruction plays a crucial role in rehabilitating patients post maxillectomy, especially for those who may not be suitable candidates for surgical reconstruction or prefer a non-surgical approach. Obturators and dental prostheses are common prosthetic options employed in maxillary reconstruction.

Obturators are custom-made devices designed to close the palatal defect, allowing patients to achieve proper speech articulation and prevent nasal regurgitation during swallowing.(21) Over the past 15 years, advancements in digital technology and 3D printing have significantly enhanced the fabrication of obturators. Three-dimensional printing allows for precise and patient-specific designs, ensuring a better fit and improved patient comfort.

Additionally, computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technology have enabled the creation of obturators with better retention and stability. These advancements have not only shortened the production time but have also improved the overall aesthetic outcomes, helping patients regain their confidence and quality of life.

Dental prostheses, particularly implant-supported prostheses, are essential for rehabilitating dental function and aesthetics following maxillectomy.(22) Dental implants offer a stable and durable foundation for fixed or removable prostheses, providing patients with improved chewing capacity and a more natural facial appearance.

Over the last 15 years, dental implant technology has advanced significantly, with the introduction of new implant designs, surface modifications, and digital planning techniques.(23) Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and computer-guided implant placement have enhanced the accuracy and predictability of implant surgeries, reducing the risk of complications and ensuring optimal functional and aesthetic outcomes. However, not all patients are suitable candidates for dental implant-based reconstruction. Adequate bone volume and healthy adjacent tissues are crucial prerequisites for successful implant integration. In cases where the available bone is insufficient, pre-implantation bone grafting or alternate reconstructive methods may be necessary.

Combined Approaches:

In recent years, a trend towards using combined approaches in maxillary reconstruction has gained popularity. Combining surgical techniques with prosthetic rehabilitation allows for more comprehensive and tailored solutions for patients with complex maxillary defects.

The integration of free tissue transfer with dental implants and prostheses has yielded promising results.



The use of vascularized bone from a free tissue flap provides structural support for dental implants, enhancing their long-term stability and success rate.⁽²⁴⁾ This combined approach not only restores dental function but also addresses facial aesthetics, significantly improving the patient's quality of life.

Moreover, the utilization of digital technology in the planning and execution of combined approaches has further improved treatment precision. Computer-aided design (CAD) and computer-aided manufacturing (CAM) enable seamless integration of various components, resulting in a more accurate and efficient workflow. This interdisciplinary collaboration between oral and maxillofacial surgeons, prosthodontists, and dental technicians has revolutionized maxillary reconstruction, offering patients better functional and aesthetic outcomes.

Outcomes and Complications:

The majority of the reviewed articles reported favorable outcomes in terms of functional rehabilitation, quality of life, and facial aesthetics. However, complications such as flap necrosis, infection, fistula formation, and implant failure were documented in some cases. Proper patient selection, precise surgical execution, and thorough postoperative care were identified as crucial factors in minimizing complications.

maxillary defects. These flaps provide relatively simple solutions, utilizing nearby tissues to cover the defect. They offer the advantage of minimal donor site morbidity and a shorter operating time. However, their use is limited to defects in specific regions, and they may not be suitable for larger defects that require more extensive tissue mobilization.

Challenges and Complications:

Despite the significant advancements in maxillary reconstruction, challenges and complications still exist. Surgical procedures involving flap reconstruction carry inherent risks, such as flap failure, wound dehiscence, and infection. Microvascular anastomosis remains a technically demanding aspect of free tissue transfer, and complications related to the donor site may impact the patient's overall function and well-being.

Similarly, prosthetic reconstruction, although less invasive, is not without its challenges. Obturators, if not well-designed or ill-fitting, may lead to discomfort, impaired speech, and swallowing difficulties. Implant-

based reconstructions are susceptible to complications such as implant failure, peri-implantitis, and soft tissue problems.

In addition to technical challenges, patient factors such as smoking, diabetes, and previous radiation therapy may influence the success of maxillary reconstruction. The compromised vascularity of irradiated tissues can increase the risk of complications and affect the overall healing process. Consequently, careful patient selection and preoperative evaluation are crucial to achieving successful outcomes.

Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life:

Assessing patient satisfaction and quality of life following maxillary reconstruction is of paramount importance. Over the past 15 years, numerous studies have evaluated patients' experiences and the integration of innovative surgical techniques, technological advancements, and a multidisciplinary approach holds great promise for the future of maxillary reconstruction post maxillectomy. By continually refining and developing these procedures, healthcare professionals can significantly improve the quality of life for patients, helping them regain oral function and confidence in their appearance after undergoing maxillectomy.

Maxillary reconstruction post maxillectomy is a complex and evolving field, with significant advancements in the last 15 years. The reviewed literature showcases a range of reconstructive options that have significantly improved functional outcomes and restored facial aesthetics for patients with maxillary defects. Microvascular free tissue transfer, bone grafts, and dental implants have emerged as highly effective techniques, offering durable solutions to patients with extensive maxillary defects.

Advancements in technology, such as CAD/CAM and 3D printing, have further revolutionized maxillary reconstruction, enabling more accurate surgical planning and customized solutions. Nonetheless, careful patient selection and adherence to surgical principles remain paramount in achieving successful outcomes and minimizing complications.

It is essential for maxillofacial surgeons, oncologists, prosthodontists, and other relevant specialists to collaborate closely to develop individualized treatment plans that consider the patient's unique anatomy, medical history, and treatment goals. Further research and long-



term follow-up studies are necessary to continually refine and improve maxillary reconstruction procedures and optimize patient outcomes.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the significance of maxillary reconstruction procedures post maxillectomy cannot be underestimated. As this comprehensive review will demonstrate, advancements in surgical techniques, prosthetic rehabilitation, and interdisciplinary collaboration have significantly improved functional and aesthetic outcomes for patients. By summarizing the latest evidence and exploring future prospects, this review aims to contribute to the ongoing pursuit of optimal maxillary reconstruction approaches and ultimately enhance patients' quality of life.

References

1. Gay WD, King GE. Applying basic prosthodontic principles in the dentulous maxillectomy patient. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1980 Apr;43(4):433–5.
2. Arvind TRP, Jain RK, Nagi R, Tiwari A. Evaluation of Alveolar Bone Microstructure around Impacted Maxillary Canines Using Fractal Analysis in Dravidian Population: A Retrospective CBCT Study. *J Contemp Dent Pract*. 2022 Sep 23;23(6):593–600.
3. Marunick M. Hybrid gate design frameworks for the rehabilitation of the maxillectomy patient. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2004 Apr;91(4):315–8.
4. Kachhara S, Nallaswamy D, Ganapathy DM, Sivaswamy V, Rajaraman V. Assessment of intraoral scanning technology for multiple implant impressions - A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc*. 2020 Apr 7;20(2):141–52.
5. Merchant A, Ganapathy DM, Maiti S. Effectiveness of local and topical anesthesia during gingival retraction: Anesthesia during cord packing. *Brazilian Dental Science* [Internet]. 2022; Available from: <https://bds.ict.unesp.br/index.php/cob/article/download/2591/4477>
6. Cordeiro PG, Santamaria E, Kraus DH, Strong EW, Shah JP. Reconstruction of total maxillectomy defects with preservation of the orbital contents. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 1998 Nov;102(6):1874–84; discussion 1885–7.
7. De Santis G, Cordeiro PG, Chiarini L. Atlas of Mandibular and Maxillary Reconstruction with the Fibula Flap: A step-by-step approach. Springer; 2019. 118 p.
8. Ramya G, Pandurangan K, Ganapathy D. Correlation between anterior crowding and bruxism-related parafunctional habits. *Drug Invention Today* [Internet]. 2019;12(10). Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Kiran-Pandurangan/publication/337223674_Correlation_between_anterior_crowding_and_bruxism-related_parafunctional_habits/links/5dcc083a92851c81804bf0fd/Correlation-between-anterior-crowding-and-bruxism-related-parafunctional-habits.pdf
9. Rogers SN, Lowe D, McNally D, Brown JS, Vaughan ED. Health-related quality of life after maxillectomy: a comparison between prosthetic obturation and free flap. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2003 Feb;61(2):174–81.
10. Gracco A, Incerti Parenti S, Ioele C, Alessandri Bonetti G, Stellini E. Prevalence of incidental maxillary sinus findings in Italian orthodontic patients: a retrospective cone-beam computed tomography study. *Korean J Orthod*. 2012 Dec;42(6):329–34.
11. Arvind Tr P, Jain RK. Computed tomography assessment of maxillary bone density for orthodontic mini-implant placement with respect to vertical growth patterns. *J Orthod*. 2021 Dec;48(4):392–402.
12. Felicita AS, Wahab TU. Intrusion of the maxillary posterior teeth with a single buccal mini-implant positioned bilaterally in young adults with a tendency towards hyperdivergence: A clinical study. *J Orthod*. 2022 Sep;49(3):338–46.
13. Genden EM, Wallace DI, Okay D, Urken ML. Reconstruction of the hard palate using the radial forearm free flap: indications and outcomes. *Head Neck*. 2004 Sep;26(9):808–14.
14. Cheng MH, Chang KP, Kao HK. Resection and Reconstruction of Head & Neck Cancers. Springer; 2018. 177 p.
15. Felicita AS, Khader SA. Comparison of two treatment protocols for intrusion and retraction of maxillary anterior teeth using mini-implants : A prospective clinical trial. *J Orofac Orthop* [Internet]. 2022 Apr 28; Available from:



- <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00056-022-00394-7>
16. Zhou KX, Patel M, Shimizu M, Wang E, Prisman E, Thang T. Development and validation of a novel craniofacial statistical shape model for the virtual reconstruction of bilateral maxillary defects. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* [Internet]. 2023 Jun 28; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2023.06.002>
 17. Jullian F, Kuster C, Zink S, Bodin F, Bruant-Rodier C, Dissaux C. Maxillary and total nasal reconstruction with a scapulo dorsal perforator flap. *Ann Chir Plast Esthet* [Internet]. 2023 Apr 7; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anplas.2023.03.002>
 18. Melville JC, Shum JW, Young S, Wong ME. *Regenerative Strategies for Maxillary and Mandibular Reconstruction: A Practical Guide*. Springer; 2019. 278 p.
 19. Genden EM. *Microvascular Free Tissue Transfer: The Anatomy and Application of Free Flaps in Contemporary Head and Neck Reconstruction*. American Academy of Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery Foundation; 2006. 71 p.
 20. Seban A, Bonnaud P, Sonick M. *Bone Grafts and Dental Implants: Clinical Practice and New Paradigms*. 2017. 431 p.
 21. Haribhakti VV. *Restoration, Reconstruction and Rehabilitation in Head and Neck Cancer*. Springer; 2019. 354 p.
 22. Bonanthaya K, Panneerselvam E, Manuel S, Kumar VV, Rai A. *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery for the Clinician*. Springer Nature; 2021. 1965 p.
 23. Miles DA. *Cone Beam Computed Tomography: From Capture to Reporting, An Issue of Dental Clinics of North America*. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014. 265 p.
 24. Dym H. *Implant Procedures for the General Dentist, An Issue of Dental Clinics of North America*. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2015. 289 p.