www.jchr.org

JCHR (2024) 14(2), 3213-3219 | ISSN:2251-6727



Shaping Ability of Different Rotary and Reciprocating File Systems in Stimulated S- Shaped Root Canals

Sumedha Sumbria^{1*}, Neha Madavarapu², Rutvi J Upadhyay³, Darshankumar Dudhat⁴, Khushbuben Milankumar Dudhat⁵

¹Consultant Endodontist, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Jammu & Kashmir

²Department of Health Informatics, University of North Texas, USA

³Graduate, Karnawati School of Dentistry, Gandhinagar

⁴Post Graduate, Pacific Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur

⁵Graduate, Karnawati School of Dentistry, Gandhinagar

| (Receiv | ed: 07 January 2024 | Revised: 12 February 2024 | Accepted: 06 March 2024) | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| KEYWORDS Shaping Ability, | ABSTRACT: Objective: In order to resix rotary and reciproca | ecreate S-shaped root canals, this stu ting file systems as well as hybrid te | udy looked at the shaping capabilities of echniques. | | |
| Canal Transportation, S Shaped Canal | Methodology: 20 S-shaped blocks of thermosetting epoxy resin that are radiopaque were grouped based on the biomechanical preparation technique. Using TruNatomy, Procodile, VDW Rotate, Hyflex CM, OneCurve, and WaveOne Gold, six groups of fifteen canals each were created. Following an assessment of the performance of the separate systems, two further hybrid groups (n=15 each) were added: Procodile/Hyflex CM and Procodile/TruNatomy. All groups were statistically compared with analysis of variance and Tukey honest significant difference test ($p<0.05$). | | | | |
| | Results: The greatest ar thirds (coronal, middle best centering ability transportation and the g middle third, hybridizat and the best centering a formation was seen, and | nd most cautious diameter increase v , and apical; p<0.001). In the coron (p0.001), whereas TruNatomy p greatest amount of centering prepara tion of Procodile and Hyflex CM res- bility (p0.001). Throughout canal pr d no tool failure happened. | was produced by Hyflex CM in all three hal and middle thirds, Procodile had the produced the least amount of canal ation in the apical third (p0.001). In the sulted in a small amount of canal transit reparation, no ledge, elbow, or apical zip | | |
| | Conclusion- The middle the best centering capab | e section of the canal exhibited the libility. | least amount of canal transportation and | | |

Introduction

S-shaped root canals have a distinct morphology that can make endodontic care extremely difficult. The most frequent reasons for these kinds of failures are procedural ones, like ledges, broken tools, obstructions in the canal, and fabrication of elbows and zips. The effectiveness of endodontic treatment depends on the patient's understanding of dental anatomy and its variations. Before starting therapy, a practitioner must have a thorough understanding of the shape, form, and structure of the tooth. The assessment of these structural differences in the root canal system is facilitated by routine periapical radiography.

The creation of an exact diagnosis and a thorough treatment plan are prerequisites to effective root canal therapy. To undertake necessary and proper biomechanical preparation, one must possess a thorough understanding of the anatomy and physiology of root canals. The goal of biomechanical preparation is to remove debris and microorganisms from the root canal system while preserving the original morphology and geometry of the canal.¹ The ideal way to accomplish this goal is to prevent the root canal from becoming overly

www.jchr.org

JCHR (2024) 14(2), 3213-3219 | ISSN:2251-6727



instrumented, transportation, zip, ledge, elbow and flattening of the canal.²

S-shaped or double curve canals, also known as bayonetshaped root canals³, are found in 30–40% of clinical cases and are commonly present in the mesial root of mandibular molars and in the distobuccal canals of maxillary molars.⁴ Owing to their complexity and vast morphological variability, S-shaped canals are very difficult to appropriately shape without the iatrogenic production of previously mentioned aberrations.⁵

Unlike stainless steel instruments, canal preparation using rotary nickel-titanium (NiTi) instruments leads to faster and more predictable preparation. NiTi instruments can preserve the original shape of the canal and minimize procedural errors such as ledges, zip, or perforations, especially in complex canal morphologies. Despite these advantages, unexpected fractures of NiTi instruments are possible, especially in narrow or highly curved canals.⁶

The distinctive features of the newly created NiTi files can be recognised by their taper, cross-section, and flute number and angle. These tools are thought to decrease the amount of steps required in the procedure as well as the likelihood of fracture and canal abnormalities.^{7,8} There isn't just "one ideal" rotary NiTi system for the whole root canal therapy because there are so many different instances, anatomies, and characteristics of canals. Furthermore, NiTi devices have a variety of qualities that make them suitable for application in "hybrid" concepts.⁹

The hybrid technique's basic idea is to merge separate files from several systems to produce the fewest aberrations possible while yet achieving the best biomechanical cleaning and shaping outcome. The greatest features of several file systems are combined using hybrid technology to produce effective and reliable outcomes. The crown-down approach, that entails creating a glide path, shaping the canal's body up to the first curvature's centre, and then preparing the root canal in the apical third, ought to be used for biomechanical pretreatment when assessing utilising a combination of techniques.⁹

In order to create and design a hybridised system, this investigation set out to: (a) assess the optimal shaping protocol of various NiTi rotary and reciprocating systems in recreated S-shaped canals; (b) evaluate and contrast a number of parameters and iatrogenic aberrations, such as diameter increase, canal transportation, and centric ability; and (c) analyse the outcomes of individual file systems.

Methodology

One hundred twenty replicated S-shaped resin blocks with an apical diameter of 0.15 mm, 2% taper, and a working length of 16 mm were utilised. The simulated canal has an apical curvature angle of 20 degrees and a coronal curvature angle of 30 degrees with a radius of 5 mm.



Figure 1. Dimensions of a simulated S-shaped canal



www.jchr.org

JCHR (2024) 14(2), 3213-3219 | ISSN:2251-6727



All resin blocks were secured in place using a resin block holder in order to minimise procedures and operational errors and maximise standardisation. The entire experiment was carried out at a 10X magnification using a microscope. A patent canal was ensured and irrigation to the apical region was facilitated by using a stainless steel K-file size 10 in a pecking action twice or three times. During instrumentation, a 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) lubricant was utilised. Using a sidevented irrigation needle, copious irrigation was performed at 37°C using standard saline (10 mL) after each file. One opera- tor handled all preparation, and for every three resin blocks, one rotary file was used. Every group's file was utilised in accordance with the the manufacturer's instructions using X-smart Plus Motor.

| TT1 | 11.4 | 1 1 . | 1 | 11 | | | C | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 1. | |
|-----|------|-------|-----------|--------|------|------------|-----|---------------------------------------|--------|------|--------|
| INA | 11CT | neiow | decorinec | THA CI | renc | underraken | TOP | instrumentatio | n in | each | oroun |
| THU | nou | UCIUW | ucocnoco | une or | wus. | unucratch | IUI | monunununu | 11 111 | caun | Eloup. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |

| Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Group 4 | Group 5 | Group 6 | Group 7 | Group 8 |
|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|
| Continuous | Manual | Rotate | Hyflex CM | Glide | 20/06 | glide path | A manual |
| Action | Glide- | glide | wasused in | Path | Procodile | using K-file | glide path |
| | Path | path file | two gentle | With | file | size 15 | |
| | | (15/04) | in-and-out | The | | | |
| | | | pecks with | One G | | | |
| | | | a rotational | (14/03) | | | |
| | | | speed of | File | | | |
| | | | 500 RPM | | | | |
| Torque Of | Torque | torque of | torque was | Torque | | 25/06 | Torque |
| 1.5 Ncm, | Of 1.3 | 1.3 Ncm | 2.5Ncm | Was | | Procodile file, | Was 2.5 |
| | Ncm | | | 2.5 | | for up to 12 | Ncm |
| | | | | Ncm | | mm | |
| Speed Of | Two-Thirds | Two-Thirds | Two- | 300 | programmed | Programmed | Rotational |
| 500 Rpm | of The | of The | Thirds of | Rpm | reciprocating | Reciprocating | Speed Of |
| Amplitudes | Canal In | Canal in | The Canal | | motion. | Motion. | 500 Rpm |
| 2-3 Mm | Gentle | Gentle | In Gentle | | | | |
| | Amplitudes. | Amplitudes. | Amplitudes | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Image Analysis and Assessment of Canal Preparation

The pre-operative pictures of each canal was created by filling it with blue ink, and then photographing it with a 10X magnification microscope at a fixed reproducible position with constant settings. The canals were subsequently washed with saline before and after instrumentation, and subsequently coated with red ink and captured again under identical circumstances. The pre and post-instrumentation images were superimposed employing AutoCAD (Autodesk, 2018). The measurement points were arranged in 1-mm increments, and the locations of the measurements were used to determine the amount of eliminated residual after instrumentation at 11 points, each representing an apical

curve, the middle curve, and the coronal part of the canal. A blinded examiner to all experimental groupsassessed the diameter increase, canal transportation and cen- tric ratio before and after instrumentation.

The parameters at 11 levels from the apex at 1-mm intervals were calculated using the following formulas:

Diameter increase = (X2-X1) + (Y2-Y1) Canal transportation = (X2-X1) - (Y2-Y1)Centring ratio = (X2-X1) / (Y2-Y1)if (Y2-Y1) > (X2-X1)

or Centring ratio = (Y2-Y1)/(X2-X1)if (X2-X1) > (Y2-Y1)

www.jchr.org

JCHR (2024) 14(2), 3213-3219 | ISSN:2251-6727



A positive number indicated movement towards the inner wall of the canal's curvature, and a negative number indicated movement towards the outer wall.

The instrument's ability to stay centred improved with the centring ratio getting closer to 1. If any other iatrogenic mistakes were noticed, they were scored according to conventional guidelines. These errors included canal straightening, instrument breakage, and ledge, apical zip, or elbow creation.

Using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Statistics Desktop version 23.0, the raw data extracted from AutoCAD was input and examined. The gathered information was arranged and summarised as descriptive findings, containing the average (mm) and standard deviations for every file as well as the total values for every parameter (diameter increase, canal transportation, and centric ability) in each of the three groups' upper thirds. Afterwards, the Shapiro-Wilk test was used, with a p-value of less than 0.05, to verify the assumption of normality and assess the validity of the parametric test. Moreover, post-hoc statistical tests (LSD) were utilised to ascertain the significant difference of the various rotating file systems between every two groups, whereas one-way ANOVA was employed to ascertain the degree of difference between each group with the dependent variables. A 95% confidence level for statistical significance was selected at 0.05 (p=0.05).

Results

The findings revealed that there was no elbow, ledge, or apical zip formation, nor was there any instrument breakage. As a result, all cases (100%) received an average score of zero for these mistakes. When the Hyflex CM file system was employed, the findings in the coronal (7–11), middle (3–7), and apical (0–3) thirds showed the least amount of diameter growth. In all thirds, there was a significant difference in the growth in diameter between the groups (p<0.001).



Figure 3. Superimposed pre-instrumentation and post-instrumentation images prepared using AutoCAD

Canal transportation

The TruNatomy systems displayed the smallest amount of negative canal transportation in the coronal third, whereas the VDW system of files indicated the lowest amount of positive canal transportation. The Hyflex CM system displayed the least amount of positive transportation in the middle third, while TruNatomy displayed the least amount of negative transportation in the apical third. All three-thirds of the root canal showed a significant difference between the categories: the apical (p<0.001), middle (p<0.001), and coronal (p<0.006). The apex transportation means and standard deviations, as well as the p-values for any statistically significant variations between groups.

TABLE 1. Diameter change within each third of S-shaped canals using different rotary systems

| Diameter increase (Mean±SD) | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----|-----------|--|--|--|
| TruNatomy | Procodile | VDW | Hyflex CM | | | |

www.jchr.org



JCHR (2024) 14(2), 3213-3219 | ISSN:2251-6727

| | | | 1 | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| (| | | | | | | | |
| Ē1 mm | .15±0.07 | 0.27±0.0 | 0.40±0.13 | 0.48 ± 0.05 | 0.39±0.12 | 0.47±0.04 ^B | 0.12±0.09 | 0.27±0.02 ^A |
| Ţ0 mm | 0.28±0.03 | 3A | 0.47 ± 0.05 | В | 0.50 ± 0.05 | | 0.31 ± 0.05 | |
| ∰mm | 0.31±0.03 | | 0.52 ± 0.05 | | 0.50 ± 0.03 | | 0.30 ± 0.02 | |
| 8 mm | 0.32±0.03 | | 0.52 ± 0.05 | | 0.49±0.03 | | 0.30±0.03 | |
| Coro | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Æmm | .32±0.04 | 0.29±0.0 | 0.49 ± 0.06 | 0.41 ± 0.06 | 0.47 ± 0.03 | 0.41±0.02B | 0.31±0.02 | 0.27±0.01A |
| amm | 0.32±0.04 | 2A | 0.46 ± 0.06 | В | 0.50 ± 0.06 | С | 0.32±0.03 | |
| 5 mm | 0.30±0.03 | | 0.42 ± 0.07 | | 0.44 ± 0.04 | | 0.29±0.03 | |
| 1 <u> <u> </u> </u> | 0.28±0.03 | | 0.36±0.07 | | 0.32±0.03 | | 0.24±0.02 | |
| Midd | | | | | | | | |
| ≩mm | 0.26±0.03 | 0.20±0.0 | 0.31±0.05 | .27+0.05 ^B | 0.34±0.04 | 0.26+0.05 ^B | 0.22±0.03 | 0.16±0.02 ^C |
| 2 mm | 0.21±0.04 | 2A | 0.29±0.05 | | 0.36±0.10 | | 0.20 ± 0.05 | |
| [©] ⊉mm | 0.17±0.03 | | 0.23±0.04 | | 0.19±0.04 | | 0.12±0.03 | |
| Ēmm | 0.17±0.03 | | 0.25±0.06 | | 0.15 ± 0.05 | | 0.12±0.05 | |
| Apic | | | | | | | | |

| Diameter increase (Mean±SD) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|------|---------|--|--|--|
| Cont | | | | | | | | | |
| | OneCurve | | WaveOne Gold | | | P Value | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 mm | 0.30±0.07 | 0.53+0.03 ^C | 0.36±0.99 | 0.53+0.03 ^C | .00 | < 0.001 | | | |
| 10 mm | 0.50±0.03 | | 0.54 ± 0.04 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| 9 mm | 0.50±0.03 | | 0.57±0.03 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| 8 mm | 0.51±0.02 | | 0.58±0.04 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 mm | 0.51±0.02 | 0.47±0.04 ^D | 0.57±0.03 | 0.47±0.04 ^D | 0.00 | 0.000 | | | |
| 6 mm | 0.51±0.04 | | 0.52±0.04 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| 5 mm | 0.47±0.13 | | 0.49±0.04 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| 4 mm | 0.36±0.03 | | 0.39±0.05 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 mm | 0.33±0.02 | 0.28+0.05 ^B | 0.37±0.05 | 0.28+0.05 ^B | 0.00 | 0.000 | | | |
| 2 mm | 0.33±0.05 | | 0.34±0.08 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| 1 mm | 0.22±0.02 | | 0.22±0.04 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| 0 mm | 0.20±0.04 | | 0.21±0.05 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

A, B, and C indicate a significant difference between the groups in multiple comparison test (*Tukey's* HSD for different types of rotary systems, p<0.05). SD: Standard deviation

Discussion

Since it is nearly impossible to gather standardised human teeth in S-shaped canals that have distinct parameters about canal diameter, length, level, and radius of both curvatures, the present investigation was conducted on simulated S-shaped canals in radiopaque thermosetting epoxy resin blocks.10 Resin blocks are the conventional substitute for real teeth despite the fact they are not as microhard as dentine and are prone to variations in resin consistency due to heat generated www.jchr.org

JCHR (2024) 14(2), 3213-3219 | ISSN:2251-6727



during instrumentation.^{11,12,13} The reason AutoCAD was chosen in this study was because it could precisely scale the resin block images to the actual canal dimensions (16 mm), in contrast to Photoshop by Adobe. A further advantage of AutoCAD is its ability to provide two-dimensional quantitative measurements in an affordable and repeatable method by superimposing pre- and post-operative photographs.¹⁴ The investigation's mechanical steps were all completed with files with a 25 ISO tip size. The reason for following the manufacturer's instructions was that this tip size was chosen specifically for intricate canal geometries.

Due to the reduction in file flexibility, an increase in tip size will increase the danger of canal transportation even if it may potentially lead to improved cleaning and better accessibility for the irrigation material.¹⁵ It is not advised to use files with a taper greater than 0.04 for apical expansion in double-curved canals. Using a NiTi instrument with less taper and greater flexibility is therefore preferred.Six This study compared and examined the shaping skills of several popular and contemporary rotating systems using files from various tapers. Because the ta- per of the files was 0.07 and 0.06, respectively, canal straightening was seen in WOG and One Curve. Procodile performed better in contrast other larger tapered files like One Curve and WOG due to its unique double S-cross section and variable tapered core with a constant tapered cutting edge.

Because of its off-centric motion, the VDW rotated more resistance and debris than other file systems, disregarding the anatomy of the canal. Consequently, the canals treated with this technique exhibited increased canal diameter and straightened capillary curvatures. The controlled memory characteristic of Hyflex CM and TruNatomy files, which allows them to be pre-curved like conventional stainless-steel files and help preserve the canal's curvatures, may be the reason why these files in this investigation demonstrated the least diameter rise across all three sections of the canal.

In order to improve the files' fatigue resistance and flexibility, heat-treated NiTi alloys were used in the production of the most recent TruNat- omy files. The cross-sectional form of the new instruments is shaped like a parallelogram. Because a TruNato-my file can preserve structural dentin to assist preserve the tooth's strength, these files employ 0.8 mm NiTi wires rather than 1.2 mm. In cases of curved canals, the file's geometry permits a slim-flexible design and regressive

taper root canal therapy.16 TruNatomy files remove the least amount of dentine clinically necessary to adequately irrigate the canal. Because both files provided cautious yet less aggressive outcomes, there was no discernible difference in diameter growth between Hyflex CM and TruNatomy for the reasons mentioned before.

Conclusion

Procodile and Hyflex CM hybridization produced remarkable outcomes in maintaining the canal diameter in all three sections, with the middle third of the canal exhibiting the least amount of canal transportation and the best centering ability. Nevertheless, physicians can employ a single file system (Hyflex CM or TruNatomy) as it exhibits good results in all parameters when compared with a combination of systems, if treatment cost and length limit the clinical application of the hybrid method.

References

- Can ED, Gerek M, Kayahan MB, Mohseni K, Sunay H, Bayirli G. Comparision of two different preparation protocol of Ni-Ti Rotary PathFile-ProTaper instruments in simulated s-shaped canals. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2014;72(1):76–80.
- Hiran-us S, Pimkhaokham S, Sawasdichai J, Ebihara A, Suda H. Shaping ability of ProTaper NEXT, ProTaper Universal and iRace files in simulated Sshaped canals. *Aust Endod J*. 2016;42(1):32–6.
- Sakkir N, Thaha KA, Nair MG, Joseph S, Christalin R. Management of dilacerated and S-shaped root canals-An endodontist's challenge. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2014;8(6):ZD22.
- Schäfer E, Diez C, Hoppe W, Tepel J. Roentgenographic investigation of frequency and degree of canal curvatures in human permanent teeth. *J Endod.* 2002;28(3):211–6.
- Ceyhanli KT, Kamaci A, Taner M, Erdilek N, Celik D. Shaping ability of two M wire and two traditional nickel titanium instrumentation systems in S shaped resin canals. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2015;18(6):713–7.
- Bonaccorso A, Cantatore G, Condorelli GG, Schäfer E, Tripi TR. Shaping ability of four nickel-titanium rotary instruments in simulated S-shaped canals. J Endod. 2009;35(6):883–6.
- 7. Chow DY, Stover SE, Bahcall JK, Jaunberzins A, Toth JM. An *in vitro* comparison of the rake angles

www.jchr.org

JCHR (2024) 14(2), 3213-3219 | ISSN:2251-6727

between K3 and ProFile endodontic file systems. *J Endod.* 2005;31(3):180–2.

- Herold KS, Johnson BR, Wenckus CS. A scanning electron microscopy evaluation of microfractures, deformation and separation in EndoSequence and Profile nickel-titanium rotary files using an extracted molar tooth model. J Endod. 2007;33(6):712–4.
- 9. Walsch H. The hybrid concept of nickel-titanium rotary instrumentation. *Dent Clin North Am.* 2004;48(1):183–202.
- Bürklein S, Poschmann T, Schäfer E. Shaping ability of different nickel-ti- tanium systems in simulated Sshaped canals with and without glide path. J Endod 2014; 40(8):1231–4.
- Burroughs JR, Bergeron BE, Roberts MD, Hagan JL, Himel VT. Shaping ability of three nickel-titanium endodontic file systems in simulated S-shaped root canals. J Endod 2012; 38(12):1618–21.
- 12. Gomaa MA, Osama M, Badr AE. Shaping ability of three thermally treat- ed nickel-titanium systems in S-shaped canals. Austr Endod J 2021; 47(3):435–41.
- Shi L, Zhou J, Wan J, Yang Y. Shaping ability of ProTaper Gold and Wave- One Gold nickel-titanium rotary instruments in simulated S-shaped root canals. J Dent Sci 2022; 17(1):430–7. [CrossRef]
- Keskin C, Sarıyılmaz E, Demiral M. Shaping ability of Reciproc Blue recip- rocating instruments with or without glide path in simulated S-shaped root canals. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2018; 12(1):63–7.
- Zhang L, Luo HX, Zhou XD, Tan H, Huang DM. The shaping effect of thecombination of two rotary nickeltitanium instruments in simulated S-shaped canals. J Endod 2008; 34(4):456–8.
- Huang Z, Quan J, Liu J, Zhang W, Zhang X, Hu X. A microcomputed to-mography evaluation of the shaping ability of three thermally-treatednickel-titanium rotary file systems in curved canals. J Int Med Res 2019; 47(1):325–34.