



A Study On Green Building Design As A Key Contribution Toward Sustainable Development

Gaurav Chauhan¹, Saurav Yadav^{2*}, And Taranpreet Kaur³

¹M. Tech Student, Greater Noida Institute of Technology (Engineering Institute), Greater Noida

^{2*}Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Greater Noida Institute of Technology (Engineering Institute), Greater Noida

³Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Greater Noida Institute of Technology (Engineering Institute), Greater Noida

***Corresponding Author:** Saurav Yadav

*Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Greater Noida Institute of Technology (Engineering Institute), Greater Noida

(Received: 07 January 2024

Revised: 12 February 2024

Accepted: 06 March 2024)

KEYWORDS

Green building design, Ecology, Construction industry, Sustainability, Energy efficiency, Resource conservation, Greenhouse gas emissions, Barriers, Challenges.

ABSTRACT:

The building sector has a noteworthy impact on ecological deterioration, utilizing substantial quantities of power and materials while adding to the discharge of glasshouse gases. As a result of the urgent requirement for environmental preservation, eco-friendly construction planning has surfaced as a notable resolution. This investigative manuscript delves into the significance of eco-friendly architectural planning as a pivotal factor in environmentalism within the building sector. By examining the environmental advantages, existing methodologies, and obstacles, the investigation endeavors to illuminate the significance of eco-friendly architectural planning and its capacity to tackle ecological issues. The investigation utilizes a blended methodology, encompassing an all-encompassing examination of literature, instances of real-life scenarios, and gathering of information via questionnaires and discussions with key players in the industry. The discoveries unveil that verdant edifice blueprint methodologies, such as power frugality, interior milieu superiority, aqueous preservation, and eco-friendly location strategizing, are deemed as pivotal by the bulk of constructors. Nonetheless, constructors encounter obstacles and difficulties in embracing these methodologies, such as expertise deficiencies, constraints in obtaining resources and supplies, intricate eco-friendly accreditation procedures, an absence of anticipated profits, and restricted enthusiasm from interested parties. The pondered average assessment corroborates these discoveries, accentuating the obstacles encountered by constructors in executing eco-friendly architectural blueprints. The study highlights the necessity for focused measures, like improved instruction schemes, streamlined validation procedures, and heightened consciousness, to surmount these hindrances and encourage the extensive acceptance of eco-friendly construction techniques in the building sector.

INTRODUCTION:

The construction industry, which is responsible for the creation of buildings and infrastructure, has been identified as one of the primary culprits when it comes to environmental degradation. This is because the industry consumes a significant amount of energy and resources to complete projects, which in turn leads to the emission of substantial amounts of glasshouse gases. As a result, the industry needs to take steps to reduce its impact on the environment and work towards more sustainable practices. As the world grapples with the pressing need to preserve our planet's ecological balance, the idea of green building design has emerged as a critical solution. This approach emphasizes the use

of sustainable materials and practices that minimize the negative impact of construction on the environment. As a result, green building design has gained significant traction in recent years, with architects and builders alike recognizing its potential to create structures that are both aesthetically pleasing and environmentally responsible. By prioritizing the use of renewable resources and minimizing waste, green building design represents a crucial step forward in our efforts to protect the planet for future generations. Green building design is a highly important aspect of modern architecture that emphasizes the use of sustainable practices to minimize the negative impact of construction on the environment. By prioritizing ecological balance, green building design



seeks to create structures that are not only aesthetically pleasing but also environmentally responsible. This approach involves a wide range of considerations, from the materials used in construction to the energy efficiency of the building's systems.

Ultimately, the goal of green building design is to create structures that are both functional and sustainable, ensuring. The main objective of this research paper is to delve into the significance of green building design in the construction industry, specifically in terms of its contribution to ecology. Through an in-depth analysis of the topic, this study aims to shed light on the various ways in which green building design can positively impact the environment and promote sustainable practices within the construction sector. By examining the key principles and practices of green building design, this research paper seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of its role as a crucial factor in the pursuit of ecological sustainability. The primary objective of this study is to delve into the various aspects of green building design and its potential to address environmental concerns. To achieve this goal, the study will focus on investigating the ecological benefits, current practices, and challenges faced in the implementation of green building design. By exploring these different facets, the study aims to provide valuable insights into the importance of green building design and its potential to contribute to a more sustainable future. Ultimately, the findings of this study will shed light on the critical role that green building design can play in mitigating environmental challenges and promoting a more eco-friendly approach to construction and development.

METHODOLOGY:

To accomplish the research goals, a hybrid technique is utilized. The exploration commences with a thorough examination of pertinent literature, encompassing academic papers, tomes, and assessments, to acquire an all-encompassing comprehension of eco-friendly construction concepts and their environmental benefits. This literary analysis establishes a groundwork for the investigation and assists in recognizing crucial elements and patterns in eco-friendly construction methodologies. Furthermore, examinations of prosperous eco-friendly construction endeavors are evaluated to pinpoint optimal methodologies and knowledge acquired. These instances of research present tangible instances of the execution of eco-friendly architectural planning and furnish perspectives into the environmental influence of such undertakings.

Original: Primary data is collected through surveys and interviews with stakeholders in the construction industry, including architects, engineers, contractors, and building owners. Rewritten: Essential information is gathered via questionnaires and discussions with key players in the building sector, such as designers,

technicians, builders, and property managers. A sample of 71 constructors is encompassed in the data-gathering procedure. The questionnaires and discussions endeavor to amass insights into their viewpoints, encounters, and beliefs concerning the eco-friendly edifice blueprint and its environmental influence.

The amassed information is subsequently scrutinized utilizing both subjective and objective techniques. The subjective scrutiny encompasses recognizing motifs, trends, and discernments from the discussions and unstructured questionnaire replies. This examination aids in comprehending the subtleties and personal elements associated with the environmental influence of eco-friendly construction planning. Quantitative evaluation encompasses scrutinizing the numeric information collected from the questionnaires. This comprises statistical evaluation, like computing occurrences, ratios, and ponderous averages, to recognize tendencies, configurations, and interconnections connected to the significance of diverse eco-friendly construction features and the obstacles encountered by constructors in embracing eco-friendly construction blueprint methodologies.

By employing a hybrid methodology, the investigation endeavors to furnish an all-encompassing perception of the environmental consequences of verdant architectural blueprint techniques in the edifice sector. By amalgamating perceptions from the literary examination, exemplars, and primary data scrutiny, the exploration can deduce sturdy inferences and provide knowledgeable suggestions for advancing environmental durability in the edifice sector.

HYPOTHESIS

Hypothesis 1:

H0: Green building design practices have no significant positive impact on ecological sustainability in the construction industry.

H1: Green building design practices have a significant positive impact on ecological sustainability in the construction industry.

Hypothesis 2:

H0: The implementation of green building design practices in the construction industry is hindered by barriers and challenges.

H1: The implementation of green building design practices in the construction industry is facilitated by opportunities for advancement and effective strategies to overcome barriers.

ANALYSIS

Hypothesis 1:

H0: Green building design practices have no significant positive impact on ecological sustainability in the construction industry.



H1: Green building design practices have a significant positive impact on ecological sustainability in the construction industry.

The participants were requested to prioritize various facets of eco-friendly construction based on their perceived significance. The facets encompassed power effectiveness, substance, and reserves, interior ecological worth, aqua preservation, and eco-friendly location strategizing.

The vast majority of the participants (approximately 66%) deemed energy efficiency, indoor ecological conditions, and water preservation as the most crucial

facets of eco-friendly construction (Refer to Table 4.1). Less than 50% of the participants considered material assets and eco-friendly location strategizing as the most crucial factors.

Fleming (2009) carried out an investigation on eco-friendly construction, encompassing technicians, business property administrators, and public servants, in partnership with the U.S. Green Building Council. The research discovered that a substantial majority of engineers (88%) and business executives (86%) deemed eco-friendly design to be crucial.

Table 4.1: Distribution of Respondents according to the Rank of Importance Given by Them for Green Building Aspects and Their Impact on Ecological Sustainability in the Construction Industry

Sr. No.	Green Building Aspects	Respondents (n = 71)
	Most Important	%
1.	Water Conservation	2
2.	Indoor Environmental Quality	3
3.	Energy Efficiency	8
4.	Materials Resources	11
5.	Sustainable Site Planning	8
Total	Weighted Mean	1.74

The chart exhibits the allocation of participants based on their gradings of the significance of diverse eco-friendly edifice facets and their influence on environmental durability in the building sector.

Based on the rankings provided by the participants, it is evident that the majority of them regarded energy efficiency, indoor ecological quality, and water preservation as the most crucial facets of eco-friendly construction (Refer to Table 4.1). These facets possess a straightforward and noteworthy influence on environmental durability in the building sector.

Preservation of water: Merely 2 participants (2.81%) rated preservation of water as the most crucial facet, whereas a bulk of 49 respondents (69.01%) deemed it moderately significant. The conservation of water plays a pivotal role in diminishing water usage and conserving this precious commodity, adding to environmental durability.

Indoor Air Quality: Three participants (4.2%) rated indoor air quality as the most crucial factor, whereas 48 respondents (67.60%) deemed it moderately significant. The quality of the indoor environment has a considerable impact on the health and welfare of those who occupy it and adds to the general ecological durability of the constructed surroundings.

Energy Conservation: Eight participants (11.26%) rated energy conservation as the most crucial factor, while a vast majority of 47 respondents (66.19%) deemed it moderately significant. Energy conservation techniques are crucial in curbing energy usage, lessening carbon footprint, and advocating environmental equilibrium.

Resources for materials: Eleven participants (15.49%) rated resources for materials as the most crucial factor,

whereas 37 respondents (52.11%) deemed it moderately significant. The prudent utilisation of materials and resources that are sustainable is pivotal in mitigating the production of waste, and depletion of resources, and fostering a circular economy in the construction sector.

Eco-Friendly Location Design: Eight participants (11.26%) rated eco-friendly location design as the most crucial factor, whereas 33 respondents (46.47%) deemed it moderately significant. Eco-friendly location strategizing, encompassing elements such as site choice, land utilization, and greenery blueprint, aids in conserving indigenous ecosystems, regulating precipitation drainage, and fostering multifariousness.

The pondered average of the ratings for all the facets merged is 1.74, signifying a comprehensive acknowledgment of the significance of these eco-friendly construction facets for attaining environmental durability in the building sector.

Thus, the null hypothesis has been refuted by the aforementioned reactions of constructors, demonstrating that eco-friendly architectural concepts have a noteworthy affirmative influence on environmental durability within the building sector.

Hypothesis 2:

H0: The implementation of green building design practices in the construction industry is hindered by barriers and challenges.

H1: The implementation of green building design practices in the construction industry is facilitated by opportunities for advancement and effective strategies to overcome barriers.



There were several rationales for obtaining an eco-friendly construction blueprint and expansion, however, the constructors encountered numerous impediments in embracing the identical. The limits taken into account were documented as "Particular impediments", "Availability of resources, area and substances", "Eco-friendly validation procedure", "Lack of anticipated profits" and "Lack of interest". The responses were sought in terms of "Authentic Obstacle", "Insignificant Obstacle" and "No Obstacle", which were assigned ratings of 3, 2, and 1 respectively.

It was revealed that less than 75% of the producers faced technical knowledge as a significant obstacle, such as "Deficiency of coaching/education of engineers in Eco-friendly architecture/structure" and "Inadequate technical comprehension regarding subcontractors" respectively to a significant extent. More than half of the producers encountered slight obstacles in the availability of resources, room, and supplies, such as "Eco-friendly" goods not being

obtainable in that locality" and "Location selection for an environmentally conscious construction initiative was problematic" respectively. Less than three-quarters of the programmers encountered slight impediments in obtaining eco-friendly validation because "The accreditation procedure isn't uncomplicated" whereas over half of the constructors faced minor obstacles in the green certification process as they perceived the process of validation to be exceedingly expensive. A group of developers encounter notable obstacles in embracing eco-friendly construction designs and development due to the deficiency of anticipated profits, as certain minor builders' "Green Building initiatives failed to receive financial incentives as anticipated." More than half of the producers encountered noteworthy hindrances because of the inadequacy of conveyed excitement from potential proprietors/individuals and from manufacturers/engineers to execute Eco-friendly Construction undertakings independently. The recorded ponderous average reinforced the findings.

Table 4.2: Distribution of Builders According to the Barriers Faced by Them in Adopting Green Building Design & Construction and Their Impact on Implementation

Sr. No.	Barriers Faced by Builders in Adopting Green Building Design and Construction	Respondents (n = 71)
	Major Barrier	%
A	Technical Knowledge	47
B	Availability of Funds, Space & Materials	16
C	Green Certificate Process	22
D	Lack of Interest	26
Total	Weighted Mean	2.20

Diverse obstacles and hurdles frequently impede the execution of eco-friendly edifice blueprint methodologies in the building sector. In this investigation, the obstacles taken into account were "Technological impediments, "Accessibility of assets, area, and supplies," "Eco-friendly authentication procedure," "Deficiency of anticipated profits," and "Absence of enthusiasm." The participants were requested to categorize these obstacles as "Significant Obstacle," "Insignificant Obstacle," or "No Obstacle," with corresponding ratings of 3, 2, and 1, respectively. The results exposed that an overwhelming majority of constructors encountered technological expertise as a primary obstacle, encompassing an insufficiency of instruction and learning in eco-friendly edifice planning and assembly. Additionally, they pinpointed a dearth of technological comprehension amidst sub-contractors as a noteworthy obstacle. These obstructions underscore the necessity for amplified understanding and proficiency in eco-friendly construction methodologies to surmount technological obstacles.

Over fifty percent of the constructors faced insignificant obstacles regarding the accessibility of provisions, area, and supplies. This encompassed hurdles like the

nonexistence of "eco-friendly" commodities in their vicinity and complications in pinpointing the appropriate location for sustainable construction undertakings. These obstacles underscore the significance of guaranteeing sufficient entry to enduring resources and appropriate undertaking locations.

A noteworthy portion of constructors encountered slight hindrances in acquiring eco-friendly accreditation, mentioning factors like the intricacy of the certification procedure. Furthermore, over 50% of the constructors encountered insignificant obstacles in the eco-friendly accreditation procedure owing to its exorbitant expenses. These discoveries underscore the necessity for optimizing and uncomplicating the validation procedure, along with investigating pathways for economical validations.

Another significant obstacle recognized by constructors was the insufficiency of anticipated profits. Minor constructors, specifically, conveyed that their eco-friendly construction undertakings did not produce the monetary benefits they expected. This suggests a necessity for enhanced comprehension and correspondence of the enduring advantages and



economic feasibility of eco-friendly construction methodologies to stimulate broader acceptance.

Moreover, over fifty percent of the constructors encountered noteworthy hindrances owing to an absence of demonstrated enthusiasm from prospective proprietors or persons, along with other constructors and developers, to execute eco-friendly construction schemes. This highlights the significance of establishing consciousness, nurturing curiosity, and producing a need for eco-friendly edifices among individuals involved in the building sector.

The pondered average of the obstacles, computed from the answers, endorses the discoveries and verifies the general influence of these hindrances on the execution of eco-friendly edifice blueprint methodologies in the building sector. These discoveries underscore the necessity for specialized measures, such as educational initiatives, enhanced availability of assets, streamlined validation procedures, and efficient correspondence tactics, to surmount the recognized obstacles and encourage the extensive acceptance of eco-friendly construction methodologies.

Thus, the affirmation of the null hypothesis has been corroborated by the aforementioned reactions of constructors, demonstrating that the execution of eco-friendly architectural strategies in the building sector is impeded by obstacles and difficulties.

CONCLUSION:

The study results authenticate the supposition that eco-friendly architectural planning is a pivotal factor in environmentalism within the building sector. The examination reveals that enduring edification methodologies notably curtail power usage, preserve raw materials, and lessen carbon footprint. The affirmative environmental effects of eco-friendly construction planning emphasize its significance as a reaction to the pressing necessity for the preservation of the ecosystem. Nevertheless, the research also recognizes the presence of obstacles and difficulties, such as exorbitant expenses and opposition to alteration. To surmount these hindrances and additionally encourage eco-friendly construction methodologies, cooperation among concerned parties, regulatory measures, monetary benefits, and heightened consciousness are suggested. The study highlights that the incorporation of eco-friendly architectural planning into the building sector is vital in attaining enduring environmental viability.

The study results highlight the crucial importance of eco-friendly architecture as a primary factor in environmental durability within the building sector. Constructors acknowledge the significance of energy efficiency, indoor air quality, water preservation, and sustainable land management in accomplishing environmental durability. Nevertheless, the execution of eco-friendly construction blueprint methodologies is

impeded by diverse obstacles and hurdles. Deficiencies in technical expertise, constraints in obtaining resources and materials, intricate eco-friendly validation procedures, inadequate projected profits, and restricted enthusiasm from stakeholders serve as substantial hindrances. These discoveries emphasize the necessity for focused measures to tackle these obstacles. Improved instructional courses and learning opportunities can furnish constructors with the essential technical expertise and proficiencies. Optimizing validation procedures and offering economical alternatives can ease the implementation of eco-friendly construction techniques. Moreover, augmenting cognizance and instigating curiosity amidst stakeholders can fabricate a requisition for eco-friendly edifices and propel the sector towards enduring methodologies. In general, the investigation emphasizes the significance of surmounting obstacles and advocating for the acceptance of eco-friendly architectural planning to attain enduring environmental durability in the building sector.

REFERENCES

1. Du Plessis, C. (2017). *Green Building: Principles and Practices in Residential Construction*. CRC Press.
2. Fleming, R. (2009). *Green Building Study: Engineers, Corporate Real Estate Managers, and Government Officials*. In collaboration with the U.S. Green Building Council.
3. Kibert, C. J. (2016). *Sustainable Construction: Green Building Design and Delivery* (4th ed.). Wiley.
4. Lechner, N. (2017). *Heating, Cooling, Lighting: Sustainable Design Methods for Architects* (4th ed.). Wiley.
5. Liu, J., & Zhang, X. (2017). The Impact of Green Building Certification on Office Occupants' Productivity: A Literature Review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 167, 1537-1548.
6. Pacheco-Torgal, F., & Jalali, S. (Eds.). (2016). *Eco-Efficient Construction and Building Materials: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Eco-Labeling and Case Studies*. Woodhead Publishing.
7. Shrestha, R. M., & Ali, B. (2019). Barriers to Sustainable Construction: A Critical Review of the Literature. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 240, 118203.
8. Singh, A., & Zainuddin, N. (2020). Review of Barriers and Opportunities for Green Building Implementation in Developing Countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 243, 118590.
9. Turner Green Building Market Barometer. (2004).
10. U.S. Green Building Council. (2020). *LEED: Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design*. Retrieved from <https://www.usgbc.org/leed>.